



GRETCHEN WHITMER
GOVERNOR

STATE OF MICHIGAN
DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
LANSING

ORLENE HAWKS
DIRECTOR

REDACTED REPORT

April 1, 2022

Charmaine Davis
534 E. Main Street
Benton Harbor, MI 49022

RE: License #: DG110394358
Investigation #: 2022D0298005
Charmaine Davis

Dear Ms. Davis:

I conducted a special investigation because the child care licensing division received a complaint against your facility that related to licensing rules or law. The allegations were related to the following:

R 400.1911(1) Care; supervision; children.
R 400.1913(3)(a) Discipline; child handling.

The details of the allegations are in the attached report. To investigate the allegations:

- I interviewed the person who made the complaint, the licensee, child care staff members, and parents.
- I completed onsite inspections on 01/31/2022 and 02/09/2022.

As a result of this investigation, I found the following violation(s):

R 400.1907(1)(a) Child's records.
R 400.1907(3) Child's records.
R 400.1907(4) Child's records.
R 400.1908(1) Capacity.
R 400.1910(1) Ratio of personnel to children.
R 400.1910(2) Ratio of personnel to children.
R 400.1911(1) Care; supervision; children.
R 400.1915(1) Indoor space; play equipment and materials.
R 400.1916(6)(a) Bedding and sleeping.
R 400.1916(8) Bedding and sleeping.
R 400.1916(13) Bedding and sleeping.
R 400.1916(14) Bedding and sleeping.

- R 400.1916(15) **Bedding and sleeping.**
- R 400.1931(14) **Food preparation and service.**
- R 400.1943(5) **Exit requirements for each floor level used by children.**

I recommend issuance of a first provisional license. If you accept the provisional license, you must sign and return the enclosed waiver form. If you do not accept the provisional license, you must notify this office in writing and an administrative hearing will be scheduled. Even if you don't accept the provisional license, you must still send us an acceptable corrective action plan.

Due to the violations, you must send us a corrective action plan by 4/15/2022. You can use our [corrective action plan](#) form or create your own.

If you need help writing the corrective action plan, please contact me. If you do not send a corrective action plan, you may face disciplinary action. The corrective action plan must include the following:

- How compliance with each rule will be achieved.
- Who is directly responsible for implementing the corrective action for each violation.
- Specific time frames for each violation as to when the correction will be completed or implemented.
- How continuing compliance will be maintained once compliance is achieved.
- The signature of the responsible party and a date.

Due to the infant safe sleep violation(s), you and all of your caregivers must take training on infant safe sleep. In addition, a follow up inspection may be made to check compliance with the infant safe sleep rules.

During this special investigation:	Yes	No
A rule or law violation was found and a serious injury or death occurred.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
A rule or law violation was found and abuse and/or neglect of a child occurred.	<input type="checkbox"/>	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>

You will be sent two copies of this report. One is ***not for public disclosure*** and one is a ***redacted report***.

- The report marked ***not for public disclosure*** is for your records only. This copy may contain legally protected information. It must not be placed in your licensing notebook.
- The report marked ***redacted report*** may have some legally protected information blacked out. The ***redacted report*** and any related corrective action plans must be placed in your licensing notebook. The ***redacted report*** and any related

corrective action plans will be online for parents to review under the Statewide Search for Licensed Child Care Centers and Homes.

Please review the enclosed documentation for accuracy and contact me with any questions. In the event that I am not available and you need to speak to someone immediately, please contact the local office at (517) 284-9730.

Sincerely,



Tiara McKay, Licensing Consultant
Child Care Licensing Bureau
611 W. Ottawa Street
PO Box 30664
Lansing, MI 48909
(269) 615-5758

enclosure

**MICHIGAN DEPARTMENT OF LICENSING AND REGULATORY AFFAIRS
BUREAU OF COMMUNITY AND HEALTH SYSTEMS
SPECIAL INVESTIGATION REPORT**

I. IDENTIFYING INFORMATION

License #:	DG110394358
Investigation #:	2022D0298005
Complaint Receipt Date:	01/31/2022
Investigation Initiation Date:	01/31/2022
Report Due Date:	04/01/2022
Licensee Name:	Charmaine Davis
Licensee Address:	534 E. Main Street Benton Harbor, MI 49022
Licensee Telephone #:	(269) 861-2661
Administrator:	N/A
Licensee Designee:	N/A
Name of Facility:	Charmaine Davis
Facility Address:	534 E. Main Street Benton Harbor, MI 49022
Facility Telephone #:	(269) 861-2661
Original Issuance Date:	08/07/2018
License Status:	REGULAR
Effective Date:	02/07/2021
Expiration Date:	02/06/2023
Capacity:	12
Program Type:	CHILD CARE GROUP HOME (CAPACITY 7-12)

II. ALLEGATION(S)

	Violation Established?
Child A had scratches on [REDACTED] face and Child B had marks and bruises around [REDACTED] left hip. The marks on both children are believed to be caused by child care staff member Tequila Smith.	No
Additional Findings	Yes

III. METHODOLOGY

01/31/2022	Special Investigation Intake – 2022D0298005
01/31/2022	Contact – Telephone call received from [REDACTED].
01/31/2022	Special Investigation Initiated – On-site with [REDACTED] from approximately 3:15 until 4:45 pm. Interviewed child care staff members Chequila Smith, Tequila Smith, and licensee Charmaine Davis.
01/31/2022	Contact – Email received from Charmaine Davis including attendance records.
02/03/2022	Contact – Telephone call made to Child A and Child B’s Mother with [REDACTED].
02/03/2022	Contact – Email received from [REDACTED].
02/03/2022	Contact – Telephone call received from [REDACTED].
02/03/2022	Contact – Telephone call made to Child E and F’s Father, with [REDACTED].
02/03/2022	Contact – Telephone call made to Child H and I’s Father, with [REDACTED].
02/03/2022	Contact – Telephone call made to Child J and K’s Mother and to Child L and M’s Mother, with [REDACTED]. Voice mail messages were left for both mothers requesting return calls.
02/07/2022	Contact – Telephone call made to Child C and D’s Mother, with [REDACTED].

02/07/2022	Contact – Telephone call received from [REDACTED].
02/08/2022	Contact – Email received from Charmaine Davis stating effective 02/05/2022 Tequila Smith is suspended until further notice.
02/09/2022	Inspection Completed On-site with [REDACTED] from approximately 12:15 until 2:15 pm.
02/10/2022	Contact – Text message received from [REDACTED].
02/17/2022	Contact – Email received from Charmaine Davis stating that she would be closed from 02/17/2022 through 02/23/2022.
02/22/2022	Contact – Telephone call received from [REDACTED].
02/22/2022	Contact – Telephone call made to Child N's Mother, with [REDACTED]
02/25/2022	Contact – [REDACTED]
03/15/2022	Contact – Email sent to Charmaine Davis requesting the 6 child information records that she had not been able to produce during the on-site inspection on 02/09/2022.- Document Sent email to charmaine. requested 6 child information cards that I did not receive while onsite. named names.
03/22/2022	Inspection Completed-BCAL Sub. Non-Compliance
03/30/2022	Exit conference with Charmaine Davis.

ALLEGATION: Child A had scratches on [REDACTED] face and Child B had marks and bruises around [REDACTED] left hip. The marks on both children are believed to be caused by child care staff member Tequila Smith.

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022 [REDACTED] [REDACTED] contacted me to discuss the allegations. She stated that a different [REDACTED] had made face to face contact with Child A, Child B, and Child A and B's Mother on 01/28/2022. [REDACTED] observed scratches on Child A's face but did not observe Child B's hip. Child A and B's Mother agreed to take both children to a doctor for a physical evaluation of the marks.

On 01/31/2022 [REDACTED] I conducted an unscheduled on-site inspection. When we arrived, only child care staff member Chequila Smith was present. She

called Charmaine Davis, to advise of our arrival, and Ms. Davis arrived 15 minutes later. Tequila Smith arrived sometime after that.

Ms. Davis advised that Child A and Child B came into her care very recently and were only in attendance a total of about 8 times. On 01/27/2022, Child A hit another child in care and in turn that child "grabbed [redacted] face", leaving scratch marks. Ms. Davis said she saw the incident occur. She advised Child A and B's Mother of the incident that day. The following day, Child A and B did not come for care. Child A and B's Mother contacted Ms. Davis, stating that Child B had marks on the side of [redacted] leg and that she found the marks to be "concerning". When we told Ms. Davis that it was alleged that Tequila Smith left the marks on each child, Ms. Davis said she knew Ms. Tequila did not leave the marks on Child A because she saw the incident herself. She said she did not believe that Ms. Tequila left marks on Child B, as that is not something Ms. Tequila would do. Additionally, Ms. Davis said that she changed Child B's diapers on 01/27/2022 and she did not see any marks or bruises on [redacted] at that time. [redacted] put a safety plan in place with Ms. Davis, where she agreed not to leave Ms. Tequila alone with any children until after this investigation is complete.

Ms. Tequila said that she was not present when Child A received scratch marks on [redacted] face. She came in later that date and inquired as to the marks. Ms. Davis told her that another child had scratched Child A. Ms. Tequila denied leaving marks on Child B. She specifically denied hitting or spanking [redacted]. She denied ever laying her hands on any child. She said she changed Child B's diapers shortly before [redacted] mother picked [redacted] up on 01/27/2022 and she did not see any marks or bruises on [redacted].

Ms. Chequila said she was present when Child A was scratched. [redacted] had hit another child and that child then "clawed [redacted] in the face". Child A let out a sound as [redacted] was being "clawed" and Ms. Chequila looked to see the other child pulling her hand away from [redacted] face. Ms. Chequila said that she has never seen Ms. Tequila hit a child. Ms. Tequila is her mother, so she is very familiar with her. Ms. Chequila changed Child B's diapers on 01/27/2022 and she did not observe any marks on [redacted].

On 02/03/2022 [redacted] I spoke with Child A and B's Mother. She advised that Child A and B started going to Ms. Davis's for part time care mid January and that they were not there for a period of time [redacted]. She said on 01/[redacted]/2022 at pick up she noticed scratches on Child A's face. Only Ms. Tequila was present at the time. Ms. Tequila told her that "[redacted] and a little girl scratched each other". Child A and B's Mother said she "got to thinking" after she got home and she thought that the marks looked like someone scratched [redacted] from behind. She said they matched her fingers so she thought that the marks came from an adult. She asked Child A "are they mean to you" and "do you like it there" and [redacted] said "no, Tequila spanked my baby". He said this while "spanking" [redacted] in the buttocks. She then looked at Child B's buttocks and saw "welts and bruises". Child A and B's Mother said that [redacted].

[REDACTED] I asked Child A and B's Mother if she asked Child a who scratched [REDACTED] and she said [REDACTED] said "the girl". She asked [REDACTED] "was the girl big or small" and [REDACTED] said "big". [REDACTED] did not use a name. when [REDACTED] said "big" Child A and B's Mother assumed [REDACTED] meant Ms. Tequila because Ms. Tequila is "big".

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I spoke with Child E and F's Father and Child H and I's Father on 02/03/2022. Neither father had any concerns regarding the care or supervision provided in Ms. Davis's home. We spoke with Child C and D's Mother on 02/07/2022. She did not have any concerns regarding the care or supervision provided in Ms. Davis's home.

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I spoke with Child N's Mother on 02/22/2022. She did not have any concerns regarding the care or supervision provided in Ms. Davis's home. She said that if Child N ever saw anything concerning, he would come home and tell her. She said "he'll tell you everything".

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED]

[REDACTED] I attempted to contact Child J and K's Mother and Child L and M's Mother on 02/03/2022 and were unsuccessful. [REDACTED] attempted to contact each of them on a later date and was again unsuccessful. Neither mother returned the calls.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1911	Care; supervision; children.
	(1) A licensee shall ensure appropriate care and supervision of children at all times.
ANALYSIS:	Ms. Davis and her child care staff members are providing appropriate care and supervision of children. There is no evidence to support the allegation that Ms. Tequila has been physical and left marks on Child A or Child B.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION NOT ESTABLISHED

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1913	Discipline; child handling.
	(3) Personnel shall not do any of the following: (a) Hit, spank, shake, bite, pinch, or inflict other forms of corporal punishment.
ANALYSIS:	Ms. Tequila did not inflict any form or corporal punishment on Child A or Child B.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION NOT ESTABLISHED

ADDITIONAL FINDINGS:

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022 I asked Ms. Davis to produce 18 child information cards, as 18 children were present. Ms. Davis was only able to produce 16 child information cards.

When [REDACTED] I arrived for the on-site inspection on 02/09/2022, we noted all of the children that were present by name. We then asked Ms. Chequila to get us child information cards for each of the children present, as Ms. Davis was not initially home. Ms. Chequila was only able to locate 13 cards. When Ms. Davis arrived, we asked her to locate the remaining child information cards. She provided two additional child information cards. On 02/09/2022, 21 children were present. We were only given child information cards for 15 of those children.

On 03/15/2022 I sent an email to Ms. Davis requesting the six child information cards that were not produced on 01/31/2022. I listed the name of each child whose card I still needed to see. Ms. Davis never provided those six child information cards.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1907	Child's records.
	(1) Prior to a child's initial attendance, a licensee shall obtain the following documents: (a) A completed child information card on a form provided by the department or a comparable substitute approved by the department.
ANALYSIS:	Ms. Davis does not have child information cards for all of the children in her care.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: I asked for attendance records during the on-site inspection on 01/31/2022. After about 10-15 minutes, Ms. Davis produced the attendance for only that day. I then asked for the attendance for the previous week, as 01/31/2022 was a Monday. Ms. Davis said, "it wasn't ready". During this on-site, Ms. Davis was only able to produce attendance for 01/31/2022.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1907	Child's records.
	(3) Dated daily attendance records of children in care must be maintained and include the child's first and last name and the time of arrival and departure. Electronic records may be used. If electronic records are used, they must be available to the department at the time of the inspection. If electronic attendance records are not available during an on-site inspection, the child care home is in violation of this rule.
ANALYSIS:	Daily attendance records are not being maintained.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: When I arrived for the on-site inspection on 01/31/2022, I asked Ms. Chequila for 18 child information cards, as 18 children were present. She said I would have to wait for Ms. Davis to arrive, as the children's records were "locked up somewhere". She did not know where the records were kept or how to unlock them. When Ms. Davis arrived, it took her more than 15 minutes to produce 16 child information cards. She could not produce the 18 cards requested.

On 01/31/2022 when I asked Ms. Davis to produce attendance records, it took her about 10-15 minutes to produce attendance for just 01/31/2022. She was unable to produce attendance for other dates.

During the on-site inspection on 02/09/2022 I asked for 21 child information cards, for the 21 children present that day. Ms. Chequila was only able to produce 13 cards. Ms. Davis arrived about an hour later and produced two more cards. The other 6 cards were not produced.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1907	Child's records.
	(4) Children's records required by the department must be immediately accessible and stored in a location known to all personnel.

ANALYSIS:	Child information cards were not immediately accessible on 01/31/2022 or on 02/09/2022. Attendance records were not immediately accessible on 01/31/2022. On 01/31/2022 Ms. Chequila did not know the location of the child information cards.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: Upon arrival for the on-site inspection on 01/31/2022, 16 children were present. Shortly after arrival, two more children arrived. There were total of 18 children present during the 01/31/2022 on-site inspection.

Upon arrival for the 02/09/2022 on-site inspection, 21 children were present. One of the 21 children was Ms. Chequila's child.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1908	Capacity.
	(1) The licensee shall ensure that the actual number of unrelated children in care at any 1 time does not exceed the number of children for which the child care home is licensed, not more than 6 children for a family child care home and not more than 12 children for a group child care home.
ANALYSIS:	Ms. Davis holds a group home license with a capacity of 12. On 01/31/2022 18 children were present. On 02/09/2022, 21 children were present, one of whom was Ms. Chequila's child.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022, Ms. Chequila was present, alone, with 18 children. Ms. Chequila called Ms. Davis upon our arrival and Ms. Davis arrived about 15 minutes later.

Upon arrival for the 02/09/2022 on-site inspection, Ms. Chequila was present, alone, with 21 children. This was at 12:15 pm. I attempted to call Ms. Davis twice, shortly after my arrival and received her voice mail both times. Ms. Chequila attempted to call her as well and received voice mail. Ms. Davis called me back about 1:10 pm and arrived at the child care facility about 1:20 pm. One of the children present on

02/09/2022 was Ms. Chequila's child. He was 20 months old at the time of the on-site.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1910	Ratio of personnel to children.
	<p>(1) The ratio of personnel to children present in the home at any 1 time must be not less than 1 member of the personnel to 6 children. The ratio must include all children in care who are not related to any personnel and any of the following children who are less than 6 years of age:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> (a) Children of the licensee. (b) Children of a child care staff member or child care assistant. (c) Children related to any member of the household by blood, marriage, or adoption.
ANALYSIS:	On 01/31/2022 Ms. Chequila was alone with 18 children and on 02/09/2022 Ms. Chequila was alone with 21 children.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: On 02/09/2022, with only Ms. Chequila present, 11 children were under the age of 30 months and 7 children were under the age of 18 months.

I did not note names of children during my 01/31/2022 on-site inspection, so the ages of the children present during the on-site inspection are unknown.

It should be noted that I was unable to review the six child information cards for the children present on 02/09/2022 so their ages were given to me by either Ms. Davis or Ms. Chequila. Of those six children, three were reported to be under 18 months, one was "2 years old" (and not counted in the under 30 ratio, as the exact date of birth was unknown), and two were 3 years old.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1910	Ratio of personnel to children.
	<p>(2) For each member of the personnel, not more than 4 children shall be under the age of 30 months, with not more than 2 of the 4 children under the age of 18 months.</p>

ANALYSIS:	On 02/09/2022 11 children under the age of 30 months and 7 children under the age of 18 months were present with only Ms. Chequila.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: After Ms. Davis arrived on 02/09/2022, we asked her to contact parents and have them pick up their children until capacity and ratios come into compliance with the rules. Ms. Davis called parents and asked them to pick up their children, stating that she was dealing with an “emergency”. She placed a call to a parent whose child was not in her care on that date. After she hung up, [REDACTED] asked Ms. Davis if she thought that the child had been there on that date and Ms. Davis said that she did think that child was present. Ms. Davis was not certain at that time which children were in her care and which children were not.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1911	Care; supervision; children.
	(1) A licensee shall ensure appropriate care and supervision of children at all times.
ANALYSIS:	Ms. Davis was not ensuring appropriate care or supervision when she could not say for certain which children were in her care on 02/09/2022.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: Approved use space in Ms. Davis’s home includes the child care room, the infant room and the preschool/school age room. On 01/31/2022 and on 02/09/2022 the infant room and the preschool/school age room were both being used for storage and both rooms had no space available for child care. Ms. Davis advised that she was not currently using the rooms for child care. I measured the child care room, the only available space for children, and found the space to measure approximately 19 ft. x 13 ft. + 11 ft. x 7 ft., for a total of 324 square feet. This allows for a capacity of nine children.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1915	Indoor space; play equipment and materials.
	(1) A child care home shall provide not less than 35 square feet per child of safe, usable, accessible indoor floor space, not including bathrooms and storage areas.
ANALYSIS:	Ms. Davis is providing less than 35 square feet of usable, accessible floor space to children. The amount of floor space she is providing allows for a capacity of nine children.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022, Child G (█ months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) was sleeping in an infant seat.

On 02/09/2022 Child G was sleeping in a car seat and Child Q (under 12 months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) was sleeping in an infant seat.

It should be noted that there were two cribs in the infant room. At the time of the 01/31/2022 on-site inspection, one crib was full of blankets but the other was empty. Both cribs were full of blankets at the time of the 02/09/2022 on-site inspection.

I was unable to attempt contact with Child G's Mother and Child Q's Mother, as there was no child information card available for either child.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1916	Bedding and sleeping equipment.
	(6) Infants, birth to 12 months of age, shall rest or sleep alone in an approved crib, play yard, or porta-crib. This equipment must meet all of the following requirements: (a) Cribs, porta-cris and play yards must comply with the product safety standards issued by the Consumer Product Safety Commission, 16 CFR 1219 (2019), 16 CFR 1220 (2019), and 16 CFR 1221 (2019), which are available at http://www.cpsc.gov. These standards are also available for inspection and distribution at the Michigan Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs, Bureau of Community

	and Health Systems, Child Care Licensing Division, 611 W Ottawa, Lansing, MI 48933-1070.
ANALYSIS:	Child G (■ months) was not sleeping in a crib, play yard, or porta-crib on 01/31/2022 or 02/09/2022. Child Q (under 12 months) was not sleeping in a crib, play yard, or porta-crib on 02/09/2022.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022 Child G (■ months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) was sleeping in an infant seat with a blanket. On 02/09/2022 Child G was asleep in a car seat with a blanket.

On 02/09/2022 Child Q (under 12 months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) was asleep in an infant seat with a blanket.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1916	Bedding and sleeping equipment.
	(8) Soft objects, bumper pads, stuffed toys, blankets, quilts or comforters, pillows, and other objects that could smother an infant must not be placed with, under, or within reach of a resting or sleeping infant.
ANALYSIS:	Child G (■ months) was sleeping with a blanket on 01/31/2022 and on 02/09/2022. Child Q (under 12 months) was sleeping with a blanket on 02/09/2022.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022, Child D (■ months), Child F (■ months), and Child G (■ months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) were sleeping in infant seats.

On 02/09/2022 Child G was asleep in a car seat and Child Q (under 12 months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) was asleep in an infant seat.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1916	Bedding and sleeping equipment.
	(13) None of the following are approved sleeping equipment for children 24 months of age or younger: (a) Infant car seats. (b) Infant seats.
ANALYSIS:	Infant seats and a car seat are being used as sleeping equipment for four children under the age of 24 months.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: On 01/31/2022, Child D (■ months), Child F (■ months), and Child G (■ months) according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) were sleeping in infant seats. On 02/09/2022 Child G was asleep in a car seat and Child Q (under 12 months according to Ms. Davis, as no child information card was provided) was asleep in an infant seat.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1916	Bedding and sleeping equipment.
	(14) Children 24 months of age or younger who fall asleep in a space that is not approved for sleeping shall be moved to approved sleeping equipment appropriate for their size and age.
ANALYSIS:	Children 24 months of age or younger are not being moved to approved sleeping equipment when they fall asleep in a space that is not approved for sleep.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: When we arrived for the on-site inspection on 02/09/2022, most of the children were napping. They were either in infant seats, a car seat, or on cots. Only two children were up and seated on the floor. Two cots had two children on them. Child C (■ months) and Child D (■ months) were sharing one cot. Child R

and Child S [REDACTED]-year-old twins, according to Ms. Davis, as no child information cards were provided) were sharing another cot.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1916	Bedding and sleeping equipment.
	(15) Children over 24 months of age shall have an individual, age appropriate, clean, comfortable, and safe place to sleep or rest. The floor may be used only when padded, warm, and free from drafts and when there is a mat, sleeping bag, blanket, or similar piece of bedding between the floor and the child.
ANALYSIS:	Four children, three over 24 months of age, were sharing cots to sleep or rest on 02/09/2022.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: During the on-site inspection on 02/09/2022 Child T ([REDACTED] months) was in a car seat with a bottle that was being propped by a blanket.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1931	Food preparation and service.
	(14) The propping of bottles is prohibited.
ANALYSIS:	A bottle was being propped on 02/09/2022.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

INVESTIGATION: During the on-site inspections on 01/31/2022 and on 02/09/2022, infant seats, car seats, and cots were spread throughout the child care room with children on them. Due to the room being well over capacity, it was difficult to move about and reach each means of egress without coming across a number of obstructions. Obstructions not only included the number of children and sleeping equipment but also tables, chairs, and play equipment.

APPLICABLE RULE	
R 400.1943	Exit requirements for each floor level used by children.
	(5) All exits must be unobstructed and accessible at all times.
ANALYSIS:	All exits were not unobstructed and accessible during the on-site inspections on 01/31/2022 and 02/09/2022.
CONCLUSION:	VIOLATION ESTABLISHED

IV. RECOMMENDATION

I recommend modification of the current status of the license to provisional.




03/31/2022

Tiara McKay
Licensing Consultant

Date

Approved By:



04/01/2022

Yolanda Sims
Area Manager

Date